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## Dynamic Programming Matrix

Compute the optimal alignment of ABC...XY..N and DEF...UV...M

|  | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{A}$ | $\mathbf{B}$ | $\mathbf{C}$ | $\ldots$ | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{Y}$ | $\ldots$ | $\mathbf{N}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{0}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{D}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{E}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{F}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\ldots$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{U}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{V}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\ldots$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{M}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Dynamic Programming Matrix

Compute the optimal alignment of ABC...XY..N and DEF...UV...M

|  | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{A}$ | $\mathbf{B}$ | $\mathbf{C}$ | $\ldots$ | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{Y}$ | $\ldots$ | $\mathbf{N}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{0}$ | 0 | I | 2 | 3 |  | X | X+I |  | N |
| $\mathbf{D}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{E}$ | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{F}$ | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\ldots$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{U}$ | U |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{V}$ | $\mathrm{U}+\mathbf{I}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\ldots$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{M}$ | M |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Top row and first column are easy: it takes L-edits to transform and empty string into a length $L$ string

## Dynamic Programming Matrix

Compute the optimal alignment of "ABC...XY..N" and "DEF...UV...M"

|  | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{A}$ | $\mathbf{B}$ | $\mathbf{C}$ | $\ldots$ | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{Y}$ | $\ldots$ | $\mathbf{N}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{0}$ | 0 | $\mathbf{I}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | 3 |  | X | $\mathrm{X}+\mathrm{I}$ |  | $\mathbf{N}$ |
| $\mathbf{D}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{E}$ | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{F}$ | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\ldots$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{U}$ | U |  |  |  |  | $\gamma$ | $\alpha$ |  |  |
| $\mathbf{V}$ | $\mathrm{U}+\mathrm{I}$ |  |  |  |  | $\beta$ | $\Omega$ |  |  |
| $\ldots$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{M}$ | $\mathbf{M}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| $\Omega=\min \langle$ | "Up" + 1 | $\alpha+1$ | Up | Left | Diagonal |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | "Left+ + 1 | $\beta+1$ | ABC...XY- | ABC.... $\mathrm{X}_{\mathbf{Y}}$ | ABC... $X \mathbf{Y}$ |
|  | "Diagonal" $+0 / \mathrm{l}$ |  | DEF....UV | DEF...UV- | DEF...UV |
|  |  |  |  | $\beta$ | V |

## Global Alignment Schematic



Evaluate all NxM cells in $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{NxM})$ time. Value in cell $\mathrm{D}[\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{m}]$ is the edit distance.

D[AGCACACA,ACACACTA $]=2$
AGCACAC-A
$|*|||||*|$
Nathan Edwards
A-CACACTA


## Graphs



- Nodes
- People, Proteins, Genes, Neurons, Sequences, Numbers, ...
- Edges
- $A$ is connected to $B$
- $A$ is related to $B$
- A regulates $B$
- A precedes B
- A interacts with $B$
- A activates B
- ...


## Graph Types



## Representing Graphs



| Adjacency Matrix <br> Good for dense graphs <br> Fast, Fixed storage: N bits |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | A | B | C | D | E | F | C |  |
| A |  |  | I | I | I |  |  |  |
| B |  |  |  | I | I |  |  |  |
| C |  |  |  |  |  | I | I |  |
| D |  |  |  |  |  | I |  |  |
| E |  |  |  |  |  |  | I |  |
| F |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| G |  |  |  |  |  |  | I |  |

Adjacency Matrix
Good for dense graphs
Fast, Fixed storage: $\mathrm{N}^{2}$ bits

## Adjacency List

Good for sparse graphs
Compact storage: 4 bytes/edge
A: C, D, E
D: F
B: D, E
E: F
C: F, G
G:

Edge List
Easy, good if you (mostly) need to iterate through the edges 8 bytes / edge

| A,C | B,C |  | C,F |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A,D | B,D |  | C,G |  |
| A,E | B,E |  | D,F |  |
|  | E,F | F,G |  |  |

Tools
Matlab: http://www.mathworks.com/
Graphviz: http://www.graphviz.org/ Gephi: https://gephi.org/
Cytoscape: http://www.cytoscape.org/
digraph G \{
A->B
$B->C$
A->C
\}
dot -Tpdf -og.pdf g.dot


## Network Characteristics

|  | C. elegans | D. melanogaster | S. cerevisiae |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \# Nodes | 2646 | 7464 | 4965 |
| \# Edges | 4037 | 22831 | 17536 |
| Avg. / Max Degree | 3.0 / 187 | 6.1 / 178 | 7.0 / 283 |
| \# Components | 109 | 66 | 32 |
| Largest Component | 2386 | 7335 | 4906 |
| Diameter | 14 | 12 | 11 |
| Avg. Shortest Path | 4.8 | 4.4 | 4.1 |
| Data Sources | 2H | 2x2H, TAP-MS | $8 \times 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{TAP}, \mathrm{SUS}$ |
| Degree <br> Distributions |  |  |  |

Small World: Avg. Shortest Path between nodes is small
Scale Free: Power law distribution of degree - preferential attachment

## Network Motifs

- Network Motif
- Simple graph of connections
- Exhaustively enumerate all possible I, 2, 3, ... k node motifs
- Statistical Significance
- Compare frequency of a particular network motif in a real network as compared to a randomized network
- Certain motifs are "characteristic features" of the network

| Network | Nodes | Edges | $N_{\text {real }} N_{\text {rand }} \pm$ SD | $Z$ score | $N_{\text {real }} \quad N_{\text {rand }} \pm$ SD | Z score | $N_{\text {real }} \quad N_{\text {rand }} \pm$ SD | $Z$ score |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gene regulation (transcription) |  |  |  | Feedforward loop | ${\underset{z}{z}}_{x}^{x}$ | Bi-fan |  |  |
| E. coli <br> S. cerevisiae* | $\begin{aligned} & 424 \\ & 685 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 519 \\ 1,052 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{rr} 40 & 7 \pm 3 \\ 70 & 11 \pm 4 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10 \\ & 14 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{rr} 203 & 47 \pm 12 \\ 1812 & 300 \pm 40 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 13 \\ 41 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  |
| Neurons |  |  | $\left[\begin{array}{l} \mathrm{X} \\ \mathrm{~V} \\ \mathrm{Y} \\ \mathrm{~V} \\ \mathrm{Z} \end{array}\right.$ | Feedforward loop |  | Bi-fan | $\begin{aligned} & k_{w}^{x} v^{y} \\ & v^{z} \end{aligned}$ | Biparallel |
| C. elegans $\dagger$ | 252 | 509 | $125 \quad 90 \pm 10$ | 3.7 | $127 \quad 55 \pm 13$ | 5.3 | $227 \quad 35 \pm 10$ | 20 |
| Food webs |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathbf{X} \\ & \mathbf{V} \\ & \mathbf{Y} \\ & \mathbf{V} \\ & \mathbf{z} \end{aligned}$ | Three chain | $\begin{gathered} k_{w}^{x} v \\ Y_{V}^{z} \end{gathered}$ | Biparallel |  |  |
| Little Rock | 92 | 984 | $3219 \quad 3120 \pm 50$ | 2.1 | $7295 \quad 2220 \pm 210$ | 25 |  |  |
| Ythan | 83 | 391 | $1182 \quad 1020 \pm 20$ | 7.2 | $1357 \quad 230 \pm 50$ | 23 |  |  |
| St. Martin | 42 | 205 | $469 \quad 450 \pm 10$ | NS | $382 \quad 130 \pm 20$ | 12 |  |  |
| Chesapeake | 31 | 67 | $80 \quad 82 \pm 4$ | NS | $26 \quad 5 \pm 2$ | 8 |  |  |
| Coachella | 29 | 243 | $279 \quad 235 \pm 12$ | 3.6 | $181 \quad 80 \pm 20$ | 5 |  |  |
| Skipwith | 25 | 189 | $184 \quad 150 \pm 7$ | 5.5 | $397 \quad 80 \pm 25$ | 13 |  |  |
| B. Brook | 25 | 104 | $181 \quad 130 \pm 7$ | 7.4 | $267 \quad 30 \pm 7$ | 32 |  |  |
| Electronic circuits (forward logic chips) |  |  | $\left[\begin{array}{l}\text { X } \\ \underset{\sim}{\mathrm{V}} \\ \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{V} \\ > \\ \mathrm{Z}\end{array}\right.$ | Feed- <br> forward <br> loop | $V_{z}^{x}$ | Bi-fan |  | Biparallel |
| s15850 | 10,383 | 14,240 | $424 \quad 2 \pm 2$ | 285 | $1040 \quad 1 \pm 1$ | 1200 | $480 \quad 2 \pm 1$ | 335 |
| s38584 | 20,717 | 34,204 | $413 \quad 10 \pm 3$ | 120 | $1739 \quad 6 \pm 2$ | 800 | $711 \quad 9 \pm 2$ | 320 |
| s38417 | 23,843 | 33,661 | $6123 \pm 2$ | 400 | 2404 1 $\pm 1$ | 2550 | $531 \quad 2 \pm 2$ | 340 |
| s9234 | 5,844 | 8,197 | $211 \quad 2 \pm 1$ | 140 | $7541 \pm 1$ | 1050 | $2091 \pm 1$ | 200 |
| s13207 | 8,651 | 11,831 | $403 \quad 2 \pm 1$ | 225 | $4445 \quad 1 \pm 1$ | 4950 | $264 \quad 2 \pm 1$ | 200 |
| Electronic circuits(digital fractional multipliers) |  |  | $\underset{\mathrm{x} \longleftarrow}{\prod_{\mathrm{z}}^{\mathrm{x}} \searrow}$ | Three node feedback loop |  | Bi-fan |  | Fournode feedback loop |
| s208 | 122 | 189 | $10 \quad 1 \pm 1$ | 9 | $4 \quad 1 \pm 1$ | 3.8 | $5 \quad 1 \pm 1$ | , |
| s420 | 252 | 399 | $20 \quad 1 \pm 1$ | 18 | $10 \quad 1 \pm 1$ | 10 | $11 \quad 1 \pm 1$ | 11 |
| 8838 $\ddagger$ | 512 | 819 | $40 \quad 1 \pm 1$ | 38 | $22 \quad 1 \pm 1$ | 20 | $23 \quad 1 \pm 1$ | 25 |
| World Wide Web |  |  | $\left[\begin{array}{l} x \\ \hat{\lambda} \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ z \end{array}\right.$ | Feedback <br> with two <br> mutual <br> dyads |  | Fully connected triad |  | Uplinked mutual dyad |
| nd.edu§ | 325,729 | 1.46e6 | $1.1 \mathrm{e} 5 \quad 2 \mathrm{e} 3 \pm 1 \mathrm{e} 2$ | 800 | $6.8 \mathrm{e} 6 \quad 5 \mathrm{e} 4 \pm 4 \mathrm{e} 2$ | 15,000 | $1.2 \mathrm{e} 6 \quad 1 \mathrm{e} 4 \pm 2 \mathrm{e} 2$ | 5000 |

Network Motifs: Simple Building Blocks of Complex Networks
Milo et al (2002) Science. 298:824-827

## Modularity

- Community structure
- Densely connected groups of vertices, with only sparser connections between groups
- Reveals the structure of large-scale network data sets
- Modularity
- The number of edges falling within groups minus the expected number in an equivalent network with edges placed at random
- Larger positive values => Stronger community structure
- Optimal assignment determined by computing the eigenvector of the modularity matrix
Modularity and community structure in networks.
Newman ME (2006) PNAS. I03(23) 8577-8582

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \qquad Q=\frac{1}{4 m} \sum_{i j} \underbrace{\left(A_{i j}-\right.}_{\uparrow}-\frac{k_{i} k_{j}}{2 m}) \\
& \begin{array}{c}
\uparrow \\
\begin{array}{c}
\text { Normalization } \\
\text { factor }
\end{array} \\
\begin{array}{c}
\text { Adjacency } \\
\text { matrix }
\end{array} \\
\begin{array}{c}
\text { Indicates } \\
\text { same group }
\end{array}
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

Random Prob. (product of degrees)

## Kevin Bacon and Bipartite Graphs

Find the shortest path from
Kevin Bacon
to
Jason Lee

Breadth First Search:
4 hops
Bacon Distance:
2


[How many nodes will it visit?]
[What's the running time?]
[What happens for disconnected components?]

| BFS |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| BFS(start, stop) <br> // initialize all nodes dist $=-$ I <br> start.dist $=0$ <br> list.addEnd(start) | $\underline{0}$ |
|  | A, B, C |
| while (!list.empty()) | B,C,D,E |
| cur = list.begin() |  |
| if (cur $==$ stop) |  |
| else E,F,L,G,H,I |  |
| foreach child in cur.children E,L,G,H,I,J |  |
| if (child.dist $==-1$ ) L |  |
| child.dist = cur.dist+1 $\quad$ G, H, I, J, X, Olist. $a d d E n d$ (child) |  |
| list.addEnd(child) | $\underline{H}, \mathrm{I}, \mathrm{J}, \mathrm{X}, \mathrm{O}$ |
|  | I,J,X,O,M |
| D:2)-(1:3 | J,X,O,M |
|  | $\underline{X}, \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{M}, \mathrm{N}$ |
|  | $\underline{\mathrm{O}}, \mathrm{M}, \mathrm{N}$ |
| -B:D- $F: 2-8$ | M, N |
| O:3 | N |
|  |  |

## DFS



## Eulerian Cycle Problem

- Seven Bridges of Königsberg
- Find a cycle that visits every edge exactly once

[Can you find the cycle?]
bioalgorithms.info


## Euler Theorem

- A graph is balanced if for every vertex the number of incoming edges equals to the number of outgoing edges:

$$
\operatorname{in}(v)=o u t(v)
$$

- Theorem: A connected graph is Eulerian if and only if each of its vertices is balanced.

bioalgorithms.info


## Algorithm for Constructing an Eulerian Cycle

a. Start with an arbitrary vertex $v$ and form an arbitrary cycle with unused edges until a dead end is reached. Since the graph is Eulerian this dead end is necessarily the starting point, i.e., vertex v.

bioalgorithms.info

## Algorithm for Constructing an Eulerian Cycle (cont' d)

b. If cycle from (a) above is not an Eulerian cycle, it must contain a vertex $w$, which has untraversed edges.
Perform step (a) again, using vertex $w$ as the starting point. Once again, we will end up in the starting vertex
w.

(b)
bioalgorithms.info

## Algorithm for Constructing an Eulerian Cycle (cont' d)

c. Combine the cycles from (a) and (b) into
a single cycle and iterate step (b).

(c)

## Counting Eulerian Cycles



Generally an exponential number of compatible sequences

- Value computed by application of the BEST theorem (Hutchinson, 1975)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{W}(G, t)=(\operatorname{det} L)\left\{\prod_{u \in V}\left(r_{u}-1\right)!\right\}\left\{\prod_{(u, v) \in E} a_{u v}!\right\}^{-1} \\
& \mathrm{~L}=n \times n \text { matrix with } r_{u}-a_{u u} \text { along the diagonal and }-a_{u v} \text { in entry uv } \\
& r_{u}=\mathrm{d}^{+}(u)+l \text { if } u=t \text {, or } \mathrm{d}^{+}(u) \text { otherwise } \\
& a_{u v}=\text { multiplicity of edge from } u \text { to } v
\end{aligned}
$$

Assembly Complexity of Prokaryotic Genomes using Short Reads. Kingsford C, Schatz MC, Pop M (20I0) BMC Bioinformatics.

## BFS and TSP

- BFS computes the shortest path between a pair of nodes in $\mathrm{O}(|\mathrm{E}|)=\mathrm{O}\left(|\mathrm{N}|^{2}\right)$
- What if we wanted to compute the shortest path visiting every node once?
- Traveling Salesman Problem

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { ABDCA: } 4+2+5+3=14 \\
& \text { ACDBA: } 3+5+2+4=14^{*} \\
& \text { ABCDA: } 4+1+5+1=11 \\
& \text { ADCBA: } 1+5+1+4=11 * \\
& \text { ACBDA: } 3+1+2+1=7 \\
& \text { ADBCA: } 1+2+1+3=7 *
\end{aligned}
$$



## Greedy Search



## Greedy Search

## Greedy Search

cur=graph.randNode()
while (!done)


Greedy: $\quad$ ABDCA $=5+8+10+50=73$
Optimal: $A C B D A=5+11+10+12=38$

Greedy finds the global optimum only when
I. Greedy Choice: Local is correct without reconsideration
2. Optimal Substructure: Problem can be split into subproblems

Optimal Greedy: Making change with the fewest number of coins

## TSP Complexity

- No fast solution
- Knowing optimal tour through n cities doesn't seem to help much for $n+1$ cities
[How many possible tours for n cities?]

- Extensive searching is the only provably correct algorithm
- Brute Force: O(n!)
- $\sim 20$ cities max
- $20!=2.4 \times 10^{18}$



## Branch-and-Bound

- Abort on suboptimal solutions as soon as possible
- ADBECA $=1+2+2+2+3=10$
$-\mathrm{ABDE}=4+2+30>10$
- ADE $=1+30>10$
- AED $=1+30>10$

- Performance Heuristic
- Always gives the optimal answer
- Doesn't always help performance, but often does
- Current TSP record holder:
- 85,900 cities
[When not?]
- $85900!=10^{386526}$


## TSP and NP-complete

- TSP is one of many extremely hard problems of the class NP-complete
- Extensive searching is the only way to find an exact solution
- Often have to settle for approx. solution

- WARNING: Many biological problems are in this class
- Find a tour the visits every node once (Genome Assembly)
- Find the smallest set of vertices covering the edges (Essential Genes)
- Find the largest clique in the graph (Protein Complexes)
- Find the highest mutual information encoding scheme (Neurobiology)
- Find the best set of moves in tetris
- ...
- http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/List_of_NP-complete_problems


## Shortest Common Superstring

Given: $S=\left\{\mathrm{s}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{~s}_{n}\right\}$
Problem: Find minimal length superstring of $S$

$$
\begin{array}{cll} 
& \mathrm{s}_{1,} \mathrm{~s}_{2}, \mathrm{~s}_{3}=\text { CACCCGGGTGCCACC } & 15 \\
\mathrm{~s}_{1} \mathrm{CACCC} & \mathrm{~s}_{1}, \mathrm{~s}_{3}, \mathrm{~s}_{2}=\text { CACCCACCGGGTGC14 } & \\
\mathrm{s}_{2} \text { CCGGGTGC } & \mathrm{s}_{2}, \mathrm{~s}_{1}, \mathrm{~s}_{3}=\text { CCGGGTGCACCCACC } & 15 \\
\mathrm{~s}_{3} \mathrm{CCACC} & \mathrm{~s}_{2}, \mathrm{~s}_{3}, \mathrm{~s}_{1}=\text { CCGGGTGCCACCC } & 13 \\
& \mathrm{~s}_{3}, \mathrm{~s}_{1}, \mathrm{~s}_{2}=\text { CCACCCGGGTGC } & 12 \\
& \mathrm{~s}_{3}, \mathrm{~s}_{2}, \mathrm{~s}_{1}=\text { CCACCGGGTGCACCC } & 15
\end{array}
$$

NP-Complete by reduction from Vertex-Cover and later Directed-Hamiltonian-Path

## Break



## Milestones in Genome Assembly


1977. Sanger et al. ${ }^{\text {st }}$ Complete Organism 5375 bp

2000. Myers et al.
$\|^{\text {st }}$ Large WGS Assembly.
Celera Assembler. I 16 Mbp

1995. Fleischmann et al.
$\|^{\text {st }}$ Free Living Organism TIGR Assembler. I.8Mbp


200 I.Venter et al., IHGSC Human Genome
Celera Assembler/GigaAssembler. 2.9 Gbp

1998. C.elegans SC ${ }^{\text {st }}$ Multicellular Organism BAC-by-BAC Phrap. 97Mbp

2010. Li et al.
$\|^{\text {st }}$ Large SGS Assembly.
SOAPdenovo 2.2 Gbp

Like Dickens, we must computationally reconstruct a genome from short fragments

## Assembly Applications

- Novel genomes

- Metagenomes

- Sequencing assays
- Structural variations
- Transcript assembly



## Assembling a Genome

I. Shear \& Sequence DNA

2. Construct assembly graph from overlapping reads

GGATGCGCGACACGTCGCATATCCGGT...
3. Simplify assembly graph

4. Detangle graph with long reads, mates, and other links


## Why are genomes hard to assemble?

## I. Biological:

- (Very) High ploidy, heterozygosity, repeat content

2. Sequencing:

- (Very) large genomes, imperfect sequencing

3. Computational:

- (Very) Large genomes, complex structure

4. Accuracy:

- (Very) Hard to assess correctness


## Ingredients for a good assembly



High coverage is required

- Oversample the genome to ensure every base is sequenced with long overlaps between reads
- Biased coverage will also fragment assembly


Reads \& mates must be longer than the repeats

- $\quad$ Short reads will have false overlaps forming hairball assembly graphs
- With long enough reads, assemble entire chromosomes into contigs


## Quality



## Errors obscure overlaps

- Reads are assembled by finding kmers shared in pair of reads
- High error rate requires very short seeds, increasing complexity and forming assembly hairballs

Current challenges in de novo plant genome sequencing and assembly Schatz MC,Witkowski, McCombie,WR (20I2) Genome Biology. I2:243

## Illumina Sequencing by Synthesis



1. Prepare
2. Attach


3. Image

4. Basecall

Metzker (20I0) Nature Reviews Genetics I I:3I-46

## Paired-end and Mate-pairs

## Paired-end sequencing

- Read one end of the molecule, flip, and read the other end
- Generate pair of reads separated by up to 500bp with inward orientation 300bp


## Mate-pair sequencing

- Circularize long molecules (I-IOkbp), shear into fragments, \& sequence
- Mata foilunocomonto chont paimad and mond

10kbp


2x100 @ ~10kbp (outies)


2x100 @ 300bp (innies)

## Typical contig coverage



Imagine raindrops on a sidewalk

## Balls in Bins Ix



Balls in Bins
balls in bin
Total balls: $\mathbf{1 0 0 0}$


## Balls in Bins $2 x$



Balls in Bins
balls in bin
Total balls: 2000


## Balls in Bins 3x



Balls in Bins
Total balls: $\mathbf{3 0 0 0}$


## Balls in Bins 4x



Balls in Bins
Total balls: $\mathbf{4 0 0 0}$


## Balls in Bins 5x



Balls in Bins
Total balls: 5000


## Balls in Bins 6x



Balls in Bins
Total balls: $\mathbf{6 0 0 0}$


## Balls in Bins 7x



Balls in Bins
Total balls: 7000


## Balls in Bins $8 x$



Balls in Bins
Total balls: $\mathbf{8 0 0 0}$


## Coverage and Read Length

Idealized Lander-Waterman model

- Reads start at perfectly random positions
- Contig length is a function of coverage and read length
- Short reads require much higher coverage to reach same expected contig length
- Need even high coverage for higher ploidy, sequencing errors, sequencing biases
- Recommend I00x coverage

Lander Waterman Expected Contig Length vs Coverage


Assembly of Large Genomes using Second Generation Sequencing Schatz MC, Delcher AL, Salzberg SL (20I0) Genome Research. 20:1165-II73.

## de Bruijn Graph Construction

- $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{k}}=(\mathrm{V}, \mathrm{E})$
- $V=$ All length- $k$ subfragments $(k<l)$
- $E=$ Directed edges between consecutive subfragments
- Nodes overlap by k-I words

Original Fragment

It was the best of

Directed Edge

- Locally constructed graph reveals the global sequence structure
- Overlaps between sequences implicitly computed
de Bruijn, 1946
Idury and Waterman, 1995
Pevzner, Tang, Waterman, 2001


## de Bruijn Graph Assembly



## de Bruijn Graph Assembly



## Two Paradigms for Assembly



Assembly of Large Genomes using Second Generation Sequencing Schatz MC, Delcher AL, Salzberg SL (20I0) Genome Research. 20:I I65-II73.

## Overlap between two sequences



The assembler screens merges based on:

- length of overlap
- \% identity in overlap region
- maximum overhang size.


## Unitigging / Unipathing

- After simplification and correction, compress graph down to its non-branching initial contigs
- Aka "unitigs","unipaths"
- Unitigs end because of (I) lack of coverage, (2) errors, and (3) repeats



## Errors in the graph


(Chaisson, 2009)


## Repeats and Read Length



- Explore the relationship between read length and contig N50 size
- Idealized assembly of read lengths: 25, 35,50, I00, 250, 500, 1000
- Contig/Read length relationship depends on specific repeat composition

Assembly Complexity of Prokaryotic Genomes using Short Reads. Kingsford C, Schatz MC, Pop M (20I0) BMC Bioinformatics. II:2I.

## Repetitive regions

| Repeat Type | Definition / Example | Prevalence |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Low-complexity DNA / Microsatellites | $\left(\mathrm{b}_{1} \mathrm{~b}_{2} \ldots \mathrm{~b}_{\mathrm{k}}\right)^{\mathrm{N}}$ where $\mathrm{I} \leq \mathrm{k} \leq 6$ <br> CACACACACACACACACACA | $2 \%$ |
| SINEs (Short Interspersed Nuclear <br> Elements) | Alu sequence $(\sim 280 \mathrm{bp})$ <br> Mariner elements $(\sim 80 \mathrm{bp})$ | $13 \%$ |
| LINEs (Long Interspersed Nuclear <br> Elements) | $\sim 500-5,000 \mathrm{bp}$ | $21 \%$ |
| LTR (long terminal repeat) <br> retrotransposons | Tyl-copia,Ty3-gypsy, Pao-BEL <br> $(\sim 100-5,000 \mathrm{bp})$ | $8 \%$ |
| Other DNA transposons | $3 \%$ |  |
| Gene families \& segmental duplications |  | $4 \%$ |

- Over $50 \%$ of mammalian genomes are repetitive
- Large plant genomes tend to be even worse
- Wheat: 16 Gbp; Pine: 24 Gbp


## Repeats and Coverage Statistics



- If $n$ reads are a uniform random sample of the genome of length $G$, we expect $k=n \Delta / G$ reads to start in a region of length $\Delta$.
- If we see many more reads than $k$ (if the arrival rate is $>A$ ), it is likely to be a collapsed repeat
- Requires an accurate genome size estimate
$\operatorname{Pr}(X-$ copy $)=\binom{n}{k}\left(\frac{X \Delta}{G}\right)^{k}\left(\frac{G-X \Delta}{G}\right)^{n-k}$

$$
A(\Delta, k)=\ln \left(\frac{\operatorname{Pr}(1-\text { copy })}{\operatorname{Pr}(2-\text { copy })}\right)=\ln \left(\frac{\frac{(\Delta n / G)^{k}}{k!} e^{\frac{-\Delta n}{G}}}{\frac{(2 \Delta n / G)^{k}}{k!} e^{\frac{-2 \Delta n}{G}}}\right)=\frac{n \Delta}{G}-k \ln 2
$$

## Initial Scaffolding

## Scaffold



Create a initial scaffold of unique unitigs (U-Unitigs) whose A-stat > 5. Also recruit borderline unitigs whose A-stat is $>2$ and have consistent mates with the U-Unitigs.

## Repeat Resolution

## Scaffold



Place rocks (A-stat > 0 with multiple consistent mates), and stones (single mate and overlap path with placed objects) into the gaps. Pebbles, unitigs lackings mates, are no longer incorporated regardless of overlap qualities.

## Derive Consensus Sequence



TAGATTACACAGATTACTGACTTGATGGCGTAA CTA

Derive multiple alignment from pairwise read alignments

Derive each consensus base by weighted voting

## N50 size

Def: $50 \%$ of the genome is in contigs larger than N50

Example: I Mbp genome 50\%


N50 size $=30 \mathrm{kbp}$
$(300 k+100 k+45 k+45 k+30 k=520 k>=500 k b p)$
Note:
N50 values are only meaningful to compare when base genome size is the same in all cases

## Assembly Algorithms

| ALLPATHS-LG | SOAPdenovo | Celera Assembler |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Broad's assembler <br> (Gnerre et al. 20II) |  <br> BGI's assembler (Li et al. 20IO) |  <br> JCVI's assembler (Miller et al. 2008) |
| De bruijn graph Short + PacBio (patching) | De bruijn graph Short reads | Overlap graph <br> Medium + Long reads |
| Easy to run if you have compatible libraries | Most flexible, but requires a lot of tuning | Supports Illumina/454/PacBio Hybrid assemblies |
| http://www.broadinstitute.org/ software/allpaths-Ig/blog/ | http://soap.genomics.org.cn/ soapdenovo.htm | http://wgs-assembler.sf.net |

## Assembly Validation



Automatically scan an assembly to locate misassembly signatures for further analysis and correction

Assembly-validation pipeline
I. Evaluate Mate Pairs \& Libraries
2. Evaluate Read Alignments
3. Evaluate Read Breakpoints
4. Analyze Depth of Coverage


Genome Assembly forensics: finding the elusive mis-assembly. Phillippy, AM, Schatz, MC, Pop, M. (2008) Genome Biology 9:R55.

## Mate-Happiness: asmQC

- Excision: Skip reads between flanking repeats
- Truth

- Misassembly: Compressed Mates, Missing Mates



## C/E Statistic

- The presence of individual compressed or expanded mates is rare but expected.
- Do the inserts spanning a given position differ from the rest of the library?
- Flag large differences as potential misassemblies
- Even if each individual mate is "happy"
- Compute the statistic at all positions
- (Local Mean - Global Mean) / Scaling Factor
- Introduced by Jim Yorke's group at UMD


## Sampling the Genome




## C/E-Statistic: Expansion




8 inserts: $3.2 \mathrm{~kb}-6 \mathrm{~kb}$
Local Mean: 4461
C/E Stat: $\frac{(4461-4000)}{(400 / \sqrt{ } 8)}=+3.26$
C/E Stat $\geq 3.0$ indicates Expansion

## C/E-Statistic: Compression




8 inserts: 3.2 kb-4.8kb
Local Mean: 3488
C/E Stat: $\frac{(3488-4000)}{(400 / \sqrt{ } 8)}=-3.62$
C/E Stat $\leq-3.0$ indicates
Compression

## Forensics

## Assembly Forensics

Truth:

Mis-assembled:


Hawkeye \& AMOS:Visualizing and assessing the quality of genome assemblies Schatz, M.C. et al. (201I) Briefings in Bioinformatics. In Press.

## Assembly Summary

Assembly quality depends on
I. Coverage: low coverage is mathematically hopeless
2. Repeat composition: high repeat content is challenging
3. Read length: longer reads help resolve repeats
4. Error rate: errors reduce coverage, obscure true overlaps

- Assembly is a hierarchical, starting from individual reads, build high confidence contigs/unitigs, incorporate the mates to build scaffolds
- Extensive error correction is the key to getting the best assembly possible from a given data set
- Watch out for collapsed repeats \& other misassemblies
- Globally/Locally reassemble data from scratch with better parameters \& stitch the 2 assemblies together


## Thank You


http://schatzlab.cshl.edu/teaching/ @mike_schatz

